## HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT ACTURNEYS

162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94086 TELEPHONE (408) 530-9700 FACSIMILE (408) 530-9797

TO:	Examiner Cam Linh Nauven
	Facsimile: 703-746 7417
FROM:	JACK HARRIS
	HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 North Wolfe Road
	Sunnyvale, California 94086 Telephone:(408) 530-9700 Facsimile:(408) 530-9797
Date: 12/1	Time: 49 a.m./p.m. AT
Pages (includ	ling cover): Client Code:
or entity named distribution or us	iccompanying this facsimile transmission contains information from the law little of HAVERSTOCI which are confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual on this cover sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, see of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents. Thank
MESSAGE	
	Interview Agende

Attorney Docket: ITLV 00101 Application Scrial No.: 09/801,072

## AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW

- I. Discussion of Office Action Claim Rejections
  - A. Independent Claims 1, 14, 27, 37, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,098,066 issued to Snow.
    - i. Current claim language refers to "utilizing a selective one or more search methodologies including keyword search, hierarchical search, dichotomous key search, and parametric search."
    - ii. Within the Office Action, it is stated that the Snow reference applied to the claim language by a select one method of searching such as keyword searching.
    - iii. Applicants propose amended claim language which replaces "utilizing a selective one or more search methodologics including keyword search, hierarchical search, dichotomous key search, and parametric search" with "utilizing a search module ..., further wherein the search module includes a keyword search capability, a hierarchical search capability, a dichotomous key search capability, and a parametric search capability."
  - B. Independent Claims 43 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snow in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,292,796 issued to Drucker et al.
    - i. The same rejections and proposed amendments cited above related to Independent Claims 1, 14, 27, 37, 41, and 42 apply to the Independent Claims 43 and 47.
- II. Discussion of Office Action Double Patenting Rejection
  - A. Double patenting rejections overcome by the proposed amended claim language cited above.